

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,931	HILSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hoang Dang	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Hoang Dang.

(2) Robert A. Van Someren.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested and applicant agreed to the attached examiner's amendment that inserts the word --open-- before "wellbore" in the first line of claims 1, 3 and 19 and inserts the phrase -to form a seal between the wellbore wall and the tubing-- after the word "tubing" in the last line of claims 1, 3 and 19, respectively, to put the application in condition for allowance.